

1 F. Christopher Austin, Esq.  
2 Nevada Bar No. 6559  
[caustin@weidemiller.com](mailto:caustin@weidemiller.com)  
3 R. Scott Weide, Esq.  
4 Nevada Bar No. 5541  
[sweide@weidemiller.com](mailto:sweide@weidemiller.com)  
5 **WEIDE & MILLER, LTD.**  
6 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 100  
7 Las Vegas, NV 89144  
(702) 382-4804

8 *Attorney for Defendant,*  
9 *Hawk Technology Systems, LLC.*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 DTiQ Technologies, Inc.,

13 Case No.: 2:20-cv-02050

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.  
16 **JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY**  
17 **DISCOVERY AND STAY CASE**  
18 **DEADLINES**

19 Hawk Technology Systems, LLC,

20 Defendant.

21 Plaintiff DTiQ Technologies, Inc. and Defendant Hawk Technology Systems, LLC  
22 stipulate to stay discovery (including any pending discovery deadlines) and stay the deadlines in  
23 the Court's scheduling order, See Dkt. 21 (scheduling order), until the Court rules upon  
24 Defendant's Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, which was filed on  
25 January 11, 2021. See Dkt. 11.

26 The parties are concurrently engaged in settlement discussions seeking to potentially  
27 resolve this matter. This is the parties' second stipulation to stay discovery and potentially modify  
28 the deadlines in the scheduling order, and the stipulation is intended to preserve significant  
resources of the parties and the Court, which constitutes good cause. If the Court grants  
Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, then this lawsuit will likely be dismissed. Thus, the parties ask  
the Court to stay discovery (including any pending discovery deadlines) and stay the deadlines in  
the scheduling order, so that if the Court grants the Motion to Dismiss, then the parties will not  
have expended the significant resources that are required to comply with discovery and comply  
with the scheduling order's upcoming deadlines, such as deadlines on infringement contentions,

1 even as Defendant has filed no Answer or counterclaims, such as patent infringement, at this time.  
2 The parties will apprise the Court without delay if settlement efforts are successful and conclusive  
3 between them.

4

5 DATED: August 31, 2021.

6

7 Respectfully Submitted,

8

9 **MASCHOFF BRENNAN**

10 */s/ Robert Stewart*  
11 Robert T. Stewart, Esq.  
Jared J. Braithwaite, Esq.  
111 Main Street, Suite 600  
12 Salt Lake, UT 84111

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
14 *DTiQ Technologies, Inc.*

15

16 Respectfully Submitted,

17

18 **WEIDE & MILLER, LTD.**

19 */s/ R. Scott Weide*  
F. Christopher Austin, Esq.  
R. Scott Weide, Esq.  
10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89144

20 Attorneys for Defendant  
21 *Hawk Technology Systems, LLC*

22 IT IS SO ORDERED

23   
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 DATED: September 1, 2021